

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

\* \* \* \* \*

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,  <div style="text-align: right;">Plaintiff,</div>	)	3:12-cv-00630-LRH-WGC
vs.	)	<u>ORDER</u>
\$367,320.00 IN UNITED STATES CURRENCY,	)	
<div style="text-align: right;">Defendant.</div>	)	

---

Before the court are the Government's Motion to Strike Claim of Right or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#10<sup>1</sup>) and the Government's Motion to Strike Amended Claim of Right or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#26). Claimant Aaron Jacob Mangin ("Mangin") has filed objections to both motions (##17, 27), to which the Government has replied (##19, 28).

**I. Facts and Procedural History**

Following the Government's seizure of \$367,320.00 from Mangin, it filed its Complaint for Forfeiture *in Rem* (#1), to which Mangin filed his Claim of Right or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#9). After the Government's Motion to Strike Claim for failure to comply with Supplemental Rule G(5) (#10), Mangin filed his Amended Claim (#13) and his objection to the Government's Motion to Strike Claim (#17). The Government replied to Mangin's objection (#19) and also moved to strike his Amended Claim, stating that a claim is not a pleading, and thus it cannot be amended (#26). Mangin's objection to the Government's Motion to Strike Amended Claim (#27) and the Government's reply to that objection followed thereafter (#28).

---

<sup>1</sup>Refers to the court's docket number.

1     **II.     Discussion**

2             Supplemental Rule of Federal Civil Procedure G governs civil forfeiture actions.  
3     Supplemental Rule G(5)(a)(i)(B) requires a person asserting an interest in seized property to file  
4     a claim “identify[ing] the claimant and stat[ing] the claimant’s interest in the property.” To state  
5     an interest in the property, however, requires “more than conclusory or hearsay allegations of  
6     some ‘interest’ in the forfeited property.” *United States v. \$100,348.00 in U.S. Currency*, 354  
7     F.3d 1110, 1119 (9th Cir. 2004) (quoting *Baker v. United States*, 722 F.2d 517, 519 (9th Cir.  
8     1983)).

9             Under Supplemental Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A), a claim may be stricken for failure to comply  
10     with Supplemental Rule G(5). Mangin’s original claim that he “has a right or interest in said  
11     \$367,320.00 in U.S. Currency” is the type of conclusory allegation generally struck under  
12     Supplemental Rule G(8)(c)(i)(A). As such, the court grants the Government’s Motion and strikes  
13     Mangin’s original Claim.

14             As to Mangin’s Amended Claim, the Government maintains it is not a pleading, and thus  
15     it cannot be amended pursuant to Rule 15 of Federal Civil Procedure. Several factors, however,  
16     suggest that claims are pleadings and can be amended under Rule 15. First, claims to seized  
17     property, along with answers to the complaint, compose Supplemental Rule G(5), which is  
18     entitled “Responsive Pleadings.” Second, the Advisory Committee Notes to Supplemental Rule  
19     G(5) state that the word “[c]laim” is used to describe this first *pleading* because of the statutory  
20     references to claim and claimant.” FED. R. CIV. P. G(5) advisory committee’s note (emphasis  
21     added). Finally, and perhaps most relevantly, the Advisory Committee Notes to Supplemental  
22     Rule G(8) advise courts to strike claims for “failure to comply with the pleading requirements of  
23     subdivision G(5) . . . only if satisfied that an opportunity should not be afforded to cure the  
24     defects under Rule 15.” FED. R. CIV. P. G(8) advisory committee’s note; *see also United States v.*  
25     *\$91,110.00 in U.S. Currency*, No. 2:12-CV-01112, 2013 WL 1189700, \*2 (D. Nev. Mar. 21,  
26     2013). Thus, the court finds Mangin’s Amended Claim is appropriate under Rule 15(a)(1)(A).  
27     Therefore, the court shall deny the Government’s Motion to Strike the Amended Claim.

28     ///

1 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Strike Claim of Right  
2 or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#10) is GRANTED. Mangin's Claim of Right or Interest  
3 and Contesting of Forfeiture (#9) is STRICKEN.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Government's Motion to Strike Amended Claim of  
5 Right or Interest and Contesting of Forfeiture (#26) is DENIED.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 DATED this 4th day of June, 2013.



8  
9  
10 LARRY R. HICKS  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28